

## Weekly Exercise 10

Dr. Csaba Domokos  
 Technische Universität München, Computer Vision Group  
 July 17th, 2017

### Parameter Learning

(8 points)

**Exercise 1 (Prior distribution on  $\mathbf{w}$ , 2 points).** Let  $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}^1, \mathbf{y}^1), (\mathbf{x}^2, \mathbf{y}^2), \dots, (\mathbf{x}^N, \mathbf{y}^N)\}$  be a set of identically and independently distributed (*i.i.d.*) training samples. Assuming  $\mathbf{w}$  is a random vector with prior distribution  $p(\mathbf{w})$ , show that the *posterior distribution*  $p(\mathbf{w}|\mathcal{D})$  can be written as

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathcal{D}) = p(\mathbf{w}) \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{p(\mathbf{y}^n|\mathbf{x}^n, \mathbf{w})}{p(\mathbf{y}^n|\mathbf{x}^n)} .$$

**Exercise 2 (Negative regularized conditional log-likelihood, 6 points).** Consider the objective function  $L(\mathbf{w})$  corresponding to the *negative regularized conditional log-likelihood*:

$$L(\mathbf{w}) = \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \mathbf{w}, \varphi(\mathbf{x}^n, \mathbf{y}^n) \rangle + \sum_{n=1}^N \log Z(\mathbf{x}^n, \mathbf{w}) .$$

It has been shown in the lecture that the gradient of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w})$  w.r.t.  $\mathbf{w}$  is given as

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} L(\mathbf{w}) = 2\lambda \mathbf{w} + \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \varphi(\mathbf{x}^n, \mathbf{y}^n) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{y} \sim p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}^n, \mathbf{w})} [\varphi(\mathbf{x}^n, \mathbf{y})] \right) .$$

Show that the Hessian of  $L(\mathbf{w})$  is given as

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{w}} L(\mathbf{w}) = 2\lambda \mathbf{I} + \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{y} \sim p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}^n, \mathbf{w})} [\varphi(\mathbf{x}^n, \mathbf{y}) \varphi(\mathbf{x}^n, \mathbf{y})^T] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{y} \sim p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}^n, \mathbf{w})} [\varphi(\mathbf{x}^n, \mathbf{y})] \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{y} \sim p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}^n, \mathbf{w})} [\varphi(\mathbf{x}^n, \mathbf{y})]^T \right) .$$

### Programming

(6 points)

**Exercise 3 (Gibbs sampling, 6 points).** Let us consider the problem of *binary image segmentation* and solve it by performing *probabilistic inference* via **Gibbs sampling**. In this particular exercise, we are going to design a *cow-detector* for the test images in Figure 1, which should label a pixel as foreground if it belongs to a *cow*, and background otherwise.



Figure 1: The test images for binary image segmentation to detect cows.

We define the following *energy function* for  $\mathbf{y} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathcal{V}}$  such that 0 and 1 denote the background and the foreground, respectively:

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} E_i(y_i) + w \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}} E_{ij}(y_i, y_j) ,$$

where  $w \in \mathbb{R}^+$  is a parameter, and  $\mathcal{V}$  stands for the set of pixels, and  $\mathcal{E}$  includes all pairs of 4-neighboring pixels.

To define the **unary energy functions**  $E_i$ , use the provided \*.yml files. Each test image has its own data file, specified by the same filename. In each data file, you can read out a  $H \times W$  array of float numbers. The  $H$  and  $W$  are the image height and width, and each float value  $p_i$  corresponds to the probability of that the given pixel belongs to the foreground. We provide the `cow_detector.cpp` to demonstrate how to load a data file and read out the corresponding probability values. The unary energy functions  $E_i$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{V}$  are then defined as the *negative log-likelihood*:

$$E_i(y_i) = \begin{cases} -\log(1 - p_i) & \text{if } y_i = 0 \\ -\log(p_i) & \text{if } y_i = 1 . \end{cases}$$

The **pairwise energy functions** are defined as the *contrast-sensitive Potts model* for all  $(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}$ ,

$$E_{ij}(y_i, y_j; x_i, x_j) = \exp(-\lambda \|x_i - x_j\|^2) \cdot \llbracket y_i \neq y_j \rrbracket .$$

where  $x_i$  denotes the intensities of the pixel  $i$  and  $\lambda = 0.5$ .

Implement the *Gibbs sampling algorithm* to achieve *probabilistic inference* and calculate the *binary segmentation* as well. Choose different values for  $w$  and give the range of  $w$  that generates the best segmentation performance.