Visual Navigation for Flying Robots

Structure From Motion

Dr. Jürgen Sturm
# VISNAV Oral Team Exam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date and Time</th>
<th>Student Name</th>
<th>Student Name</th>
<th>Student Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mon, July 29, 10am</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon, July 29, 11am</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon, July 29, 2pm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon, July 29, 3pm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon, July 29, 4pm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tue, July 30, 10am</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tue, July 30, 11am</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tue, July 30, 2pm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tue, July 30, 3pm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tue, July 30, 4pm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Will put up this list in front of our secretary’s office (02.09.052)
ICRA Papers+Videos are Online

Additional Material

- ICRA proceedings (username: visnav, password: ask by email)
  - Real-Time Motion Tracking on a Cellphone Using Inertial Sensing and a Rolling-Shutter Camera [pdf] [video]
  - First Flight Tests for a Quadrotor UAV with Tilting Propellers [pdf] [video]
  - Infrastructure-Free Shipdeck Tracking for Autonomous Landing [pdf] [video]
  - An Open Source and Open Hardware Embedded Metric Optical Flow CMOS Camera for Indoor and Outdoor Applications [pdf] [video]
  - First Results in Detecting and Avoiding Frontal Obstacles from a Monocular Camera for Micro Unmanned Aerial Vehicles [pdf] [video]
  - Vision-Based State Estimation for Autonomous Rotorcraft MAVs in Complex Environments [pdf] [video]
  - Learning Monocular Reactive UAV Control in Cluttered Natural Environments [pdf] [video]
  - Fast Visual Odometry and Mapping from RGB-D Data [pdf] [video]
  - Stereo Vision and IMU Based Real-Time Ego-Motion and Depth Image Computation on a Handheld Device [pdf]
  - Parallel, real-time monocular visual odometry [pdf]
Agenda for Today

- **This week:** basic ingredients of a visual SLAM system
  - Feature detection, descriptors and matching
  - Place recognition
  - 3D motion estimation
- **Next week:** bundle adjustment, graph SLAM, stereo cameras, Kinect
- **In two weeks:** map representations, mapping and (dense) 3D reconstruction
Last week: KLT Tracker
Kanade-Lucas-Tomasi (KLT) Tracker

- **Algorithm**
  1. Find (Shi-Tomasi) corners in first frame and initialize tracks
  2. Track from frame to frame
  3. Delete track if error exceeds threshold
  4. Initialize additional tracks when necessary
  5. Repeat step 2-4

- KLT tracker is highly efficient (real-time on CPU) but provides only sparse motion vectors
- Can use coarse-to-fine for larger motions
Visual Odometry

[Li et al., ICRA ‘13]
Limitations

- Tracking is based on image gradients (dx/dy/dt)
  - Only works for small motions
  - Preferably high frame rate
- Cannot recover when tracks are lost
- How can we recognize previously seen patches?
Example: How to Build a Panorama Map

- We need to match (align) images
- Global methods sensitive to occlusion, lighting, parallax effects
- How would you do it by eye?
Matching with Features

- Detect features in both images
Matching with Features

- Detect features in both images
- Find corresponding pairs
Matching with Features

- Detect features in both images
- Find corresponding pairs
- Use these pairs to align images
Matching with Features

- Problem 1:
  We need to detect the same point independently in both images

  ➔ We need a reliable detector

  no chance to match!
Problem 2:
For each point correctly recognize the corresponding one

We need a reliable and distinctive descriptor
Ideal Feature Detector

- Always finds the same point on an object, regardless of changes to the image
- Insensitive (invariant) to changes in:
  - Scale
  - Lightning
  - Perspective imaging
  - Partial occlusion
Harris Detector

- Rotation invariance?
Harris Detector

- Rotation invariance?

- Remember from last week

\[ A = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \sum f_x^2 & \sum f_x f_y \\ \sum f_x f_y & \sum f_y^2 \end{array} \right) \quad R = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 - \kappa (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 \]
Harris Detector

- Rotation invariance

- Remember from last week

- Ellipse rotates but its shape (i.e. eigenvalues) remains the same

→ Corner response $R$ is invariant to rotation

\[ A = \left( \frac{\sum f_x^2}{\sum f_x f_y} \cdot \frac{\sum f_x f_y}{\sum f_y^2} \right) \quad R = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 - \kappa (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 \]
Harris Detector

- Invariance to intensity change?
Harris Detector

- Partial invariance to additive and multiplicative intensity changes
  - Only derivatives are used $\rightarrow$ invariance to intensity shift $I \rightarrow I + b$
  - Intensity scale $I \rightarrow aI$:
    Because of fixed intensity threshold on local maxima, only partial invariance
Harris Detector

- Invariant to scaling?
Harris Detector

- Not invariant to image scale

All points classified as edge

Point classified as corner
Difference Of Gaussians (DoG)

- Alternative corner detector that is additionally invariant to scale change

- Approach:
  - Run linear filter (diff. of two Gaussians, $\sigma_1 = 2\sigma_2$)
  - Do this at different scales
  - Search for a maximum both in space and scale
Example: Difference of Gaussians

\[ \sigma = 1 \]

\[ \sigma = 2 \]

\[ \sigma = 4 \]

\[ \sigma = 8 \]
SIFT Detector

- Search for local maximum in space and scale

- Corner detections are invariant to scale change

![Diagram showing scale changes and corner detections](image)
SIFT Detector

1. Detect maxima in scale-space
2. Non-maximum suppression
3. Eliminate edge points (check ratio of eigenvalues)
4. For each maximum, fit quadratic function and compute center at sub-pixel accuracy
Example

1. Input image 233x189 pixel
2. 832 candidates DoG minima/maxima
   (visualization indicate scale, orient., location)
3. 536 keypoints remain after thresholding on
   minimum contrast and principal curvature

1) 2) 3)
Feature Matching

- Now, we know how to find **repeatable** corners
- Next question: How can we match them?
Template Convolution

- Extract a small as a template
- Convolve image with this template
Template Convolution

Invariances

- Scaling: No
- Rotation: No (maybe rotate template?)
- Illumination: No (use bias/gain model?)
- Perspective projection: Not really
Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT)

- Lowe, 2004: Transform patches into a canonical form that is invariant to translation, rotation, scale, and other imaging parameters.
Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT)

Approach

1. Find SIFT corners (position + scale)
2. Find dominant orientation and de-rotate patch
3. Extract SIFT descriptor (histograms over gradient directions)
Select Dominant Orientation

- Create a histogram of local gradient directions computed at selected scale (36 bins)
- Assign canonical orientation at peak of smoothed histogram
- Each key now specifies stable 2D coordinates (x, y, scale, orientation)
SIFT Descriptor

- Compute image gradients over 16x16 window (green), weight with Gaussian kernel (blue)
- Create 4x4 arrays of orientation histograms, each consisting of 8 bins
- In total, SIFT descriptor has 128 dimensions
Feature Matching

Given features in $I_1$, how to find best match in $I_2$?

- Define distance function that compares two features
- Test all the features in $I_2$, find the one with the minimal distance
Feature Distance

How to define the difference between features?

- Simple approach is Euclidean distance (or SSD)

\[ d(d_1, d_2) = \|d_1 - d_2\| \]
Feature Distance

How to define the difference between features?

- Simple approach is Euclidean distance (or SSD)
  \[ d(d_1, d_2) = \|d_1 - d_2\| \]

- Problem: can give good scores to ambiguous (bad) matches
Feature Distance

How to define the difference between features?

- Better approach: 
  \[ d(d_1, d_2) = \frac{\|d_1 - d_2\|}{\|d_1 - d'_2\|} \]
  
  with \(d_2\) best matching feature from \(I_2\)
  \(d'_2\) second best matching feature from \(I_2\)

- Gives small values for ambiguous matches
Efficient Matching

For feature matching, we need to answer a large number of nearest neighbor queries

- Exhaustive search $O(n^2)$
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For feature matching, we need to answer a large number of **nearest neighbor queries**

- Exhaustive search \(O(n^2)\)
- Indexing (k-d tree)
For feature matching, we need to answer a large number of nearest neighbor queries.

- Exhaustive search $O(n^2)$
- Indexing (k-d tree)
  - Localize query in tree
  - Search nearby leaves until nearest neighbor is guaranteed found
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For feature matching, we need to answer a large number of nearest neighbor queries

- Exhaustive search $O(n^2)$
- Indexing (k-d tree)
  - Localize query in tree
  - Search nearby leaves until nearest neighbor is guaranteed found
  - Best-bin-first: use priority queue for unchecked leaves
For feature matching, we need to answer a large number of nearest neighbor queries

- Exhaustive search $O(n^2)$
- Indexing (k-d tree)
- Approximate search
  - Locality sensitive hashing
  - Approximate nearest neighbor
For feature matching, we need to answer a large number of **nearest neighbor queries**

- Exhaustive search $O(n^2)$
- Indexing (k-d tree)
- Approximate search
  - Locality sensitive hashing
  - Approximate nearest neighbor
Efficient Matching

For feature matching, we need to answer a large number of **nearest neighbor queries**

- Exhaustive search $O(n^2)$
- Indexing (k-d tree)
- Approximate search
- Vocabulary trees
Other Descriptors (for intensity images)

- SIFT (Scale Invariant Feature Transform) [Lowe, 2004]
- SURF (Speeded Up Robust Feature) [Bay et al., 2008]
- BRIEF (Binary robust independent elementary features) [Calonder et al., 2010]
- ORB (Oriented FAST and Rotated Brief) [Rublee et al, 2011]
- ...
Example: RGB-D SLAM

[Engelhard et al., 2011; Endres et al. 2012]

- Feature descriptor: SURF
- Feature matching: FLANN (approximate nearest neighbor)
Appearance-based Place Recognition

- How can we recognize that we have been visiting the same place before?

This is the same location!
Appearance-based Place Recognition

- Brute-force matching with all previous images is slow (why?)
- How can we do this faster?
Of all the sensory impressions proceeding to the brain, the visual experiences are the dominant ones. Our perception of the world around us is based essentially on the messages that reach the brain from our eyes. At one time it was thought that these messages were transmitted point by point to visual centers in the cerebral cortex, much like a movie screen on which the image in the eye was projected. Through the discoveries of Hubel and Wiesel we now know that behind the origin of visual perception in the brain there is a considerably more complicated course of events. By following the visual impulses along their path to the various cell layers of the optical cortex, Hubel and Wiesel have been able to demonstrate that the message about the image falling on the retina undergoes a step-wise analysis in a system of nerve cells stored in columns. In this system each cell has its specific function and is responsible for a specific detail in the pattern of the retinal image.

China is forecasting a trade surplus of $90bn (£51bn) to $100bn this year, a threefold increase on 2004’s $32bn. The Commerce Ministry said the surplus would be created by a predicted 30% jump in exports to $750bn, compared with $576bn in 2004. The figures are likely to further annoy the US, which has long argued that Chinese exports are unfairly helped by a deliberately undervalued yuan. Beijing agrees the surplus is too high, but says the yuan is only one factor. Bank of China governor Zhou Xiaochuan said the country also needed to do more to boost domestic demand and so more goods would stay within the country. China increased the value of the yuan against the dollar by 2.1% in July and permitted it to trade within a narrow band, but the US wants the yuan to be allowed to trade freely. However, Beijing has made it clear that it will take its time and tread carefully before allowing the yuan to rise further in value.
Object/Scene Recognition

- Analogy to documents: The content can be inferred from the frequency of visual words

object

bag of visual words
Bag of Visual Words

- Visual words = (independent) features
Bag of Visual Words

- Visual words = (independent) features
- Construct a dictionary of representative words

dictionary of visual words (codebook)
Bag of Visual Words

- Visual words = (independent) features
- Construct a dictionary of representative words
- Represent the image based on a histogram of word occurrences (bag)

Each detected feature is assigned to the closest entry in the codebook
Overview

feature detection and extraction (e.g., SIFT, ...)

codewords dictionary

image representation (histogram of word occurrences)
Learning the Dictionary

descriptor vectors
(e.g., SIFT, SURF, ...)

example patch
Learning the Dictionary
Learning the Dictionary

cluster center = code words

clustering, e.g., k-means
Learning the Visual Vocabulary

feature extraction & clustering
Example Image Representation

- Build the histogram by assigning each detected feature to the closest entry in the codebook.
Object/Scene Recognition

- Compare histogram of new scene with those of known scenes, e.g., using
  - simple histogram intersection
    \[ \text{score}(p, q) = \sum \min(p_i, q_i) \]
  - naïve Bayes
  - more advanced statistical methods

![Graph](image)

Legend:
- Parking lot
- Highway
- ?
Example: FAB-MAP
[Cummins and Newman, 2008]
Timing Performance

- Inference: 25 ms for 100k locations
- SURF detection + quantization: 483 ms
Summary: Bag of Words
[Fei-Fei and Perona, 2005; Nister and Stewenius, 2006]

- Compact representation of content
- Highly efficient and scalable
- Requires training of a dictionary
- Insensitive to viewpoint changes/image deformations (inherited from feature descriptor)
Structure From Motion (SfM)

- Now we can retrieve relevant images and compute point correspondences between them

- What can we use them for?
Four Important SfM Problems

- **Camera calibration / resection**
  Known 3D points, observe corresponding 2D points, compute camera pose

- **Point triangulation**
  Known camera poses, observe 2D point correspondences, compute 3D point

- **Motion estimation**
  Observe 2D point correspondences, compute camera pose (up to scale)

- **Bundle adjustment / visual SLAM (next week!**)  
  Observe 2D point correspondences, compute camera pose and 3D points (up to scale)
Four Important SfM Problems

- Each of these problems has many solution algorithms
- Approaches differ in:
  - Number of minimum points and assumptions of their configuration
  - Effect of noise (bias)
  - Conditioning
  - Simplicity vs. accuracy (linear vs. non-linear)
Camera Calibration
(Perspective n-Point Problem)

\[ \begin{align*}
\mathbf{p}_1 & \quad \mathbf{p}_2 \\
\mathbf{p}_3
\end{align*} \]

world origin
Camera Calibration
(Perspective n-Point Problem)
Camera Calibration

- **Given:** \(n\) 2D/3D correspondences \(x_i \leftrightarrow p_i\)
- **Wanted:** 
  \[
  M = K(R \ t)
  \]
  such that 
  \[
  \tilde{x}_i = M p_i
  \]

- **Question:** How many DOFs does \(M\) have?
- **The algorithm has two parts:**
  1. Compute 
     \[
     M \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 4}
     \]
  2. Decompose 
     \(M\) into \(K, R, t\) via QR decomposition
Step 1: Estimate $M$

- $\tilde{x}_i = Mp_i$

- Each correspondence generates two equations

$$x = \frac{m_{11}X + m_{12}Y + m_{13}Z + m_{14}W}{m_{31}X + m_{32}Y + m_{33}Z + m_{34}W} \quad \quad y = \frac{m_{21}X + m_{22}Y + m_{23}Z + m_{24}W}{m_{31}X + m_{32}Y + m_{33}Z + m_{34}W}$$

- Multiplying out gives equations linear in the elements of $M$

$$(m_{31}X + m_{32}Y + m_{33}Z + m_{34}W)x = m_{11}X + m_{12}Y + m_{13}Z + m_{14}W$$
$$(m_{31}X + m_{32}Y + m_{33}Z + m_{34}W)y_j = m_{21}X + m_{22}Y + m_{23}Z + m_{24}W$$

- Re-arrange in matrix form
Step 1: Estimate M

- Re-arranged in matrix form
  \[
  \begin{pmatrix}
  X & Y & Z & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -xX & -xY & -xZ & -x \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X & Y & Z & 1 & -yX & -yY & -yZ & -y
  \end{pmatrix}
  \begin{pmatrix}
  m_1 \\
  m_2 \\
  \vdots \\
  m_{34}
  \end{pmatrix}
  = 0
  
  \text{with } \mathbf{m} = (m_{11}, m_{12}, \ldots, m_{34}) \in \mathbb{R}^{12}
  
- Concatenate equations for \( n \geq 6 \) correspondences
  \[
  A\mathbf{m} = 0
  \]

- Wanted vector \( \mathbf{m} \) is in the null space of \( A \)

- Initial solution using SVD (vector with least singular value), refine using non-linear min.
Step 2: Recover K, R, t

- Remember $M = K(R \ t)$
- The first 3x3 submatrix is the product of an upper triangular and orthogonal (rot.) matrix

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} f_x & s & c_x \\ 0 & f_y & c_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Procedure:
1. Factor $M$ into $KR$ using QR decomposition
2. Compute translation as $t = K^{-1}(p_{14}, p_{24}, p_{34})^\top$
Example: ARToolkit Markers (1999)

1. Threshold image
2. Detect edges and fit lines
3. Intersect lines to obtain corners
4. Estimate projection matrix $M$
5. Extract camera pose $R, t$ (assume $K$ is known)

The final error between measured and projected points is typically less than 0.02 pixels
Triangulation

- **Given:** $n$ cameras \( \{M_j = K_j(R_j \ t_j)\} \)
  
  Point correspondence $x_0, x_1$

- **Wanted:** Corresponding 3D point $p$
Triangulation

- Where do we expect to see $p = (X \ Y \ Z \ W)^T$?

$$
\hat{x} = \frac{m_{11}X + m_{12}Y + m_{13}Z + m_{14}W}{m_{31}X + m_{32}Y + m_{33}Z + m_{34}W}
$$

$$
\hat{y} = \frac{m_{21}X + m_{22}Y + m_{23}Z + m_{24}W}{m_{31}X + m_{32}Y + m_{33}Z + m_{34}W}
$$

- Minimize the residuals

$$
p^* = \arg \min_p \sum_j d(x_j, \hat{x}_j)^2
$$
Triangulation

- Multiply with denominator gives

\[
0 = (x_j m_{31} - m_{11}) X + (x_j m_{32} - m_{12}) Y + (x_j m_{33} - m_{13}) Z + (x_j m_{34} - m_{14}) W \\
0 = (y_j m_{31} - m_{21}) X + (y_j m_{32} - m_{22}) Y + (y_j m_{33} - m_{23}) Z + (y_j m_{34} - m_{24}) W
\]

Solve for \( p = (X \ Y \ Z \ W)^\top \) using:

- Linear least squares with \( W=1 \)
- Linear least squares using SVD
- Non-linear least squares of the residuals (most accurate)
Epipolar Geometry

- Let’s consider two cameras that observe a 3D world point.

![Diagram of epipolar geometry with points and camera centers.]
Epipolar Geometry

- The line connecting both camera centers is called the **baseline**
Epipolar Geometry

- Given the image of a point in one view, what can we say about its position in another?

- A point in one image “generates” a line in another image (called the **epipolar line**).
Epipolar Geometry

- Left line in left camera frame $p_1 = d_1 \hat{x}_1$
- Right line in right camera frame $p_2 = d_2 \hat{x}_2$

where $\hat{x}_j = K^{-1} \bar{x}_j$ are the (local) ray directions
Epipolar Geometry

- Left line in right camera frame \( p'_1 = Rd_1 \hat{x}_1 + t \)
- Right line in right camera frame \( p_2 = d_2 \hat{x}_2 \)

where \( \hat{x}_j = K^{-1}x_j \) are the (local) ray directions

- Intersection of both lines

\[
\begin{align*}
    d_2\hat{x}_2 &= Rd_1 \hat{x}_1 + t \\
    d_2[t] \times \hat{x}_2 &= d_1[t] \times R\hat{x}_1 + [t] \times t \\
    0 &= d_2\hat{x}_2^T [t] \times \hat{x}_2 = d_1 \hat{x}_2^T [t] \times R\hat{x}_1 \\
    0 &= \hat{x}_2^T [t] \times R\hat{x}_1 \\
    0 &= \hat{x}_2^T E\hat{x}_1
\end{align*}
\]

this is called the epipolar constraint
Epipolar Geometry

Note: The epipolar constraint holds for every pair of corresponding points \( x_1, x_2 \)

\[
\hat{x}_2^\top E\hat{x}_1 = 0
\]

where \( E \) is called the essential matrix

\[
E = [t]_x R \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}
\]
3D Motion Estimation

- **Given:** 2 camera images 
  n point correspondences

- **Wanted:** Camera motion $R, t$ (up to scale)

**Solutions:**
- 8-point algorithm
- normalized 8-point algorithm
- 6-point algorithm
- 5-point algorithm
8-Point Algorithm: General Idea

1. Estimate the essential matrix $E$ from at least eight point correspondences
2. Recover the relative pose $R,t$ from $E$ (up to scale)
Step 1: Estimate $E$

- Epipolar constraint \( \hat{x}_2^T E \hat{x}_1 = 0 \)

- Written out (with \( x_j = (x_j, y_j, 1)^\top \))

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_1 x_2 e_{11} &+ y_1 x_2 e_{12} + x_2 e_{13} + \\
    x_1 y_2 e_{21} &+ y_1 y_2 e_{22} + y_2 e_{23} + \\
    x_1 e_{31} &+ y_1 e_{32} + 1 e_{33} = 0
\end{align*}
\]

- Stack the elements into two vectors

\[
\begin{align*}
    \mathbf{z} &= (x_1 x_2 \ y_1 x_2 \ \ldots \ \ 1)^\top \\
    \mathbf{e} &= (e_{11} \ e_{12} \ \ldots \ e_{33})^\top
\end{align*}
\]

\[\begin{array}{c}
\text{z}^\top \mathbf{e} = 0
\end{array}\]
Step 1: Estimate $E$

- Each correspondence gives us one constraint

$$\begin{align*}
\mathbf{z}_1^\top \mathbf{e} &= 0 \\
\mathbf{z}_2^\top \mathbf{e} &= 0 \\
&\vdots \\
\mathbf{z}_n^\top \mathbf{e} &= 0 \\
\end{align*}$$

$$\mathbf{Ze} = 0$$

- Linear system with $n$ equations
- $\mathbf{e}$ is in the null-space of $\mathbf{Z}$
- Solve using SVD (assuming $\|\mathbf{e}\| = 1$)
Normalized 8-Point Algorithm

[Hartley 1997]

- Noise in the point observations is unequally distributed in the constraints, e.g.,
  \[ 
  x_1 x_2 e_{11} + y_1 x_2 e_{12} + x_2 e_{13} + \\
  x_1 y_2 e_{21} + y_1 y_2 e_{22} + y_2 e_{23} + \\
  x_1 e_{31} + y_1 e_{32} + 1 e_{33} = 0 
  \]
  
  - Estimation is sensitive to scaling
  - Normalize all points to have zero mean and unit variance
Step 2: Recover $R, t$

- **Note:** The absolute distance between the two cameras can never be recovered from pure images measurements alone!!

- Illustration

- We can only recover the translation $\hat{t}$ up to scale
Step 2a: Recover $t$

- Remember: $E = [t]_x R$
- Therefore, $t^\top$ is in the null space of $E$

$$t^\top E = t^\top [t]_x R = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$ Recover $\hat{t}$ (up to scale) using SVD

$$E = [\hat{t}]_x R = U \Sigma V^\top$$

$$= (u_0 \quad u_1 \quad \hat{t}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} (v_0^\top \quad v_1^\top \quad v_2^\top)$$
Step 2b: Recover $R$

Remember, the cross-product $[\hat{t}] \times$

- ... projects a vector onto a set of orthogonal basis vectors including $\hat{t}$
- ... zeros out the $\hat{t}$ component
- ... rotates the other two by 90°

$$[\hat{t}] \times = S Z R_{90°} S^\top$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_0^\top \\ s_1^\top \end{pmatrix}$$
Step 2b: Recover R

- Plug this into the essential matrix equation

\[ E = [t] \times R = SZR_{90^\circ} S^\top R = U\Sigma V^\top \]

- By identifying \( S = U \) and \( Z = \Sigma \), we obtain

\[ R_{90^\circ} U^\top R = V^\top \]

\[ R = UR_{90^\circ} V^\top \]
Step 2b: Recover R

- Matrices U, V are not guaranteed to be rotations (sign flip still yields a valid SVD)

\[ R = \pm UR_{\pm 90}^\top V^\top \]

- Identify the correct solution using
  - Select those two solutions with \( \det R = 1 \)
  - Triangulate points in 3D
  - Select the solution with the largest number of points in front of the camera
Summary: 8-Point Algorithm

**Given:** Image pair

**Find:** Camera motion $R, t$ (up to scale)
- Compute correspondences
- Compute essential matrix
- Extract camera motion
Lessons Learned Today

- ... how to detect and match feature points
- ... how to efficiently recognize places
- ... how to estimate the camera pose and to triangulate points